

Hey... Just a thought... Although he never served a day in the military, if the Prez is the "Commander in Chief" and is a *de facto* member of the armed forces, can't he be brought up on charges of dereliction of duty?

In the U.S. [Uniform Code of Military Justice](#) (UCMJ), dereliction of duty is addressed within the regulations governing the failure to obey an order or regulation. **It means that one willfully, through negligence or culpable inefficiency, fails to perform one's expected duties.** Ineptitude is a defense against the charge. The maximum penalty in the U.S. is a bad-conduct [discharge](#), forfeiture of pay and six months confinement. Acts which are derelict may be charged under more specific offenses such as [missing movement](#), noncompliance with procedural rules, misbehavior, [malingering](#), [self-injury](#) with intent to avoid service, or straggling.

And how about Lending Aid and Comfort to the Enemy? (I guess you'd have to prove they're the enemy first but, assuming illegal aliens are "the enemy," he's certainly lending them aid and comfort. As a matter of fact, he's "lending" them MY aid and comfort.)

So instead of Obama suing Arizona over AZ's determination to follow Federal Law (although AZ's law is *less* stringent than the demands of the Fed law), shouldn't SOMEONE be looking into charging the Socialist in Chief with dereliction of duty for willfully failing to comply with procedural rules? I mean... Immigration law is the LAW -- one of the RULES, and Obama's failing to do his duty and secure the border.

Just a thought.